# Chelsea mega-basement plans spark renewed community backlash over disruption and heritage risks



Chelsea buzzed with excitement last week as the RHS Flower Show unfolded, drawing crowds that included King Charles and countless celebrities. Yet, amid the floral festivities, a controversy simmered regarding a local tech entrepreneur's ambitious plans for an extravagant underground retreat. Peter Dubens, a figure with a £100 million net worth and the driving force behind Oakley Capital, unveiled an application proposing a staggering 7,760 sq ft mega-basement beneath his existing £10 million residence.

Dubens' proposed subterranean complex would feature a suite of luxury amenities, including a bar, cinema, wellness facilities, and even a sophisticated golf simulator. The scale of the construction, which intends to occupy nearly half of the area beneath his garden, has ignited outrage among local residents. They contend that the project is less about personal enjoyment and more akin to a "vanity project" indicative of extreme wealth and insensitivity towards community concerns.

Residents have expressed fears about the persistent upheaval the construction could bring, predicting an "unending" onslaught of noise and pollution during what is expected to be a two-year build sequence. Letters of complaint to Kensington and Chelsea Council highlight potential damage to historic structures, such as a Grade II Listed Tudor wall that forms part of the boundary of Dubens’ garden. One resident articulated the distress by saying, “Approval of another large basement scheme in this sensitive location would set a damaging precedent, undermining local and national efforts to manage flood risk, protect heritage assets, and maintain community cohesion.”

Concerns over mega-basements in Chelsea are not a new phenomenon. The council has made endeavours in the past to curtail such developments, enacting planning rules aimed at limiting them to a single storey and restricting the area they can cover. The surge in applications for basement developments rose dramatically from just 46 in 2001 to 450 by 2013, prompting these regulatory measures. This escalation was driven by affluent homeowners looking to maximise their residential spaces underground, but it did not come without a significant public backlash concerning noise, disruption, and even structural damage to neighbouring properties.

Historically, Kensington and Chelsea has seen a marked decline in the number of approved planning applications for mega-basements, with a 2017 report indicating a 13% fall from the previous year. This stagnation reflects heightened scrutiny of such proposals alongside residents’ increasing dissatisfaction with the impact these constructions have on living conditions. The most recent rules aim to address concerns not just about mega-basements but also the trend towards developing "mega-mansions," where multiple properties are combined into vast living spaces, further complicating the local housing supply and character.

These changing regulatory landscapes are not lost on the likes of Dubens. A spokesperson for his venture assured the public that they are committed to listening to neighbours' concerns and pledged that any construction would be conducted with 'due care and consideration.' However, scepticism remains among residents, especially given Dubens' track record; previous renovations reportedly caused considerable disruption over three years.

As the controversial planning application awaits a decision from the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea in June, it stands as a potent reminder of the ever-evolving tensions between luxury developments and community integrity in one of London's most affluent areas. Whether the council will heed the calls for stricter regulations or allow such extravagant plans to proceed remains to be seen, but one thing is clear: the debate surrounding excess and community well-being is far from settled.
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