# AI adoption falters as hype fades and operational challenges mount



The post-ChatGPT era of artificial intelligence is now nearly three years in, marking a pivotal moment in its integration into communications, collaboration, and the broader business landscape. Despite the vast array of promises surrounding AI technologies—ranging from administrative assistants designed to lighten workloads to intelligent bots aimed at enhancing productivity—the conversation is pivoting towards deeper concerns. Industry leaders are beginning to question the actual value these innovations deliver compared to the hype that has dominated discussions.

While recent announcements from major players like Microsoft and the newly disclosed partnership between Zoom and Google have kept the discussion alive, many organisations find themselves grappling with a growing sense of uncertainty. The pressing questions loom larger: What is truly operational? What constitutes real value in AI?

Several industry experts, including Blair Pleasant, President and Analyst at COMMFusion, have provided candid assessments of the current landscape. Pleasant acknowledges the transformative potential of AI, particularly in sectors like healthcare, yet voices concern over its overhyping. “Companies are rushing to deploy it without proper strategies,” she noted, highlighting that inflated claims about AI adoption—such as “90 percent of small businesses are using it daily”—risk pressuring firms into hasty implementations. Conversations with consultants reveal a sobering reality; many organisations are still stuck in pilot phases or hesitant to fully embrace AI, primarily due to a lack of understanding and fear of misapplication.

Adding to this discourse, Derrick Kelly, VP of Solutions Enablement at AVI-SPL, points out that successful deployments are heavily contingent on organisational size and preparedness. While large enterprises may thrive with well-planned strategies, smaller companies often lag, caught between aspirations and immediate capabilities. The 'pilot purgatory' phenomenon, where AI projects remain experimental indefinitely, largely results from inadequate data management and integration issues that complicate effective implementation.

A survey from S&P Global echoes these sentiments, revealing that 70% of organisations have at least one AI project in production, yet many report significant barriers. Industry players like Deloitte and NVIDIA are now offering initiatives like the ‘Ambassador AI program’ to guide companies towards effective deployment. Despite these efforts, persistent budget constraints—often diverted to pressing needs like cybersecurity—further impede progress.

The spectre of “AI-washing” also looms large, with many companies adopting the label of AI for products that may not justify such claims. Melody Brue, Vice President and Principal Analyst at Moor Insights and Strategy, criticises this trend, suggesting that the prevalence of superficial AI usage does not equate to transformative impact. “When we say ‘90 percent of companies use AI,’ it might just mean they asked a question using ChatGPT—hardly a sign of substantive change,” she noted.

In a landscape rife with misinformation and overpromises, the call for authenticity in AI implementation is gaining traction. Several experts emphasise that any meaningful progress will arise from solving tangible business problems rather than succumbing to market pressures. Notably, Craig Durr, Chief Analyst and Founder of The Collab Collective, describes this juncture as “the trough of despair” in the AI hype cycle, underscoring the disconnection between lofty expectations and real-world capabilities.

Although scepticism lingers, there remains optimism for AI's future. Some sectors have demonstrated measured success by employing “boring AI”—solutions that streamline operational tasks and yield quantifiable results, especially in customer service environments such as contact centres. Yet, experts warn that these advances depend on strategic planning, with a focus on human-technology collaboration rather than substitution.

Ultimately, the path forward for AI is riddled with both opportunity and risk. While there is widespread excitement surrounding its potential, organisations are urged to approach AI thoughtfully, establishing robust strategies and governance frameworks to navigate this intricate landscape. As the hype cycle continues to evolve, the conversation must shift towards ensuring that AI implementations are not just headline-grabbing, but grounded in operational realities that deliver real value.
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