# Channel 4 to fast-track UK civil justice backlog with new series The Court



Channel 4 is embarking on an ambitious journey to reshape the public’s interaction with the civil justice system in the UK through its forthcoming series, "The Court" (working title). Set against the backdrop of Brighton and Hove, and seeking participants from the surrounding Sussex area, the series promises to bring real-life civil disputes into the living rooms of viewers, offering a provocative glimpse into a system that many believe is overwhelmed and struggling to provide timely justice.

Produced by Wall to Wall Media, "The Court" aims to address the growing backlog in the UK’s civil courts, where waiting times can extend up to 18 months. This backlogged reality has been exacerbated by significant reductions in court resources and funding, with the number of civil cases rising to more than 1.7 million annually while half of UK courts have closed since 2010. The Government's legal aid funding has been slashed by 28% over the past decade, compounding the crisis that legal experts have labelled a dire access to justice issue.

In "The Court," participants will present cases ranging from pet custody disputes to contested inheritances and issues of online defamation. Each case will undergo preparation by an independent solicitor and be argued before two barristers, leading to a legally-binding resolution from an independent arbitrator. This format not only promises to shine a light on pressing social issues but also aims to fast-track justice for those fed up with lengthy legal processes. Sacha Mirzoeff, commissioning editor at Channel 4, stated that the show provides “the drama of unfolding real-life disputes in a legally-binding framework,” positioning it as a creative solution in light of the mounting challenges faced by the UK civil court system.

The longevity of delays within the civil justice system has evolved into a pressing concern. Recent data highlight that litigants now routinely wait over a year—specifically 54 weeks—for small claims trials to be heard. This delay has risen significantly since 2010, with the crisis escalating further due to the impact of COVID-19 on court staffing and case management. Legal advocates have pointed out that such delays can deter individuals from pursuing claims, leading many to drop their cases rather than continue incurring costs without resolution. Legal expert Nick Emmerson acknowledged that “justice delayed is justice denied,” stressing the necessity for a comprehensive review of the judicial process.

As similar issues pervade other European justice systems, the UK’s dilemma reflects a broader regional crisis. Countries across Europe are experiencing severe underfunding and staff shortages, leading to deteriorating infrastructure and a lack of technological advancement within the justice sector. This stagnation in judicial spending—approximately 0.31% of GDP—coupled with prioritisation of other public services, raises alarm bells for the rule of law itself.

Interestingly, given the ongoing frustrations with public court delays, many affluent individuals are gravitating towards private arbitration as a means to circumvent traditional court measures. This trend is seen particularly in divorce cases, where arbitration offers speed and discretion, allowing parties to select their arbitrator and settle disputes outside the public eye. While this route can be initially more costly, many consider it a more efficient alternative to judicial proceedings, which have become synonymous with prolonged uncertainty.

In an era where individuals are searching for more immediate and personalised justice solutions, "The Court" emerges as a potential answer to the civil justice backlog, appealing to those who seek resolution—swiftly and without the traditional complexities of the legal system. By broadcasting real disputes in a reimagined courthouse setting, Channel 4 aims not only to entertain but also to incite discussion on the efficacy and accessibility of the civil justice landscape, while paving a way forward for an increasingly near-future courtroom experience.
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