# UN warns UK police policy on self-identified gender risks women’s safety after Supreme Court ruling



The United Nations has raised significant concerns over the policy allowing criminals in the UK to self-identify their gender, emphasising the potential safety risks this poses, particularly to women and girls. In a recent report, Reem Alsalem, the UN's special rapporteur for violence against women and girls, highlighted that 49 individuals convicted of rape were able to identify as female offenders, despite being biologically male. She pointed out that more than a third of UK police forces are continuing to collect data based on self-identified gender rather than the sex assigned at birth, effectively obscuring the realities of violence against women.

Alsalem's critique centres on the notion that this approach undermines the specific needs of women and girls and complicates efforts to accurately document instances of violence. The UN rapporteur noted that this lack of legislative clarity in terms of sex hampers efforts to gather reliable data on violence experienced by women. Such conflation of gender identity with biological sex in law enforcement data can lead to serious implications for policy-making and resource allocation aimed at protecting vulnerable populations.

This discussion has gained renewed urgency following a recent landmark ruling by the UK Supreme Court, which established that the terms "woman" and "sex" in the Equality Act 2010 refer strictly to biological sex. The court unanimously decided that this definition permits the exclusion of transgender women from various single-sex services, including hospital wards and shelters. Such exclusions were welcomed by women's rights advocates, with supporters arguing that the ruling provides necessary legal clarity in a debate that has been marked by emotional intensity on both sides.

Lord Hodge, in his ruling, acknowledged the polarising nature of the issue, stating that the judgement should not be viewed as a victory for any particular group but rather as a reinforcement of the principle that sex should be adequately defined within legal frameworks. He stressed that while the Equality Act allows for necessary protections against discrimination for transgender individuals, it also affirms the rights of women to access single-sex spaces that align with their biological sex.

The implications of this ruling extend beyond legal definitions; they touch upon ongoing societal debates surrounding gender identity and the protection of both women’s rights and transgender rights. While women's rights groups celebrated the Supreme Court's decision—which had significant backing from political figures such as J.K. Rowling—transgender advocates warned that it could pave the way for increased discrimination and potential marginalisation of transgender individuals. Legal scholars have pointed out that the Equality Act may require urgent updates to reflect modern realities and the diverse challenges posed by evolving understandings of gender and sex.

The ruling has sparked fierce debates about the balance between safeguarding women's rights and protecting the dignity and rights of transgender persons. As activists on both sides of the debate voice their concerns, the question of how society accommodates both perspectives—while ensuring safety and equality—remains a critical issue in the UK today. Amidst this landscape, the UN's warning could serve as a pivotal moment prompting further examination of legislation and its real-world impacts on vulnerable communities.

Discussions about identity and protection remain heated, reminding society that progress in one area does not necessitate regression in another. The resolution of this ongoing debate will be crucial in establishing a framework that acknowledges and respects the complexities of gender while prioritising safety for all.
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