# Lower Merion school board forum cancellation exposes deep community divisions



# Canceled Forum Highlights Tensions in Lower Merion School Board Race

In a striking turn of events, a live candidates forum for the upcoming Lower Merion school board election was abruptly cancelled by a civic association in Bala Cynwyd. The Neighborhood Club of Bala Cynwyd cited ambiguous concerns about potential disruptive behaviour as the primary reason for the cancellation. Scheduled to take place at the Union Fire Association, the event was intended to facilitate a crucial dialogue among candidates in a district characterised by rising tensions surrounding educational and social issues.

In an email sent to candidates just before the forum, club president Kay Sickles conveyed apprehensions that the meeting could attract disruptive elements, compromising the safety and respect required for civic discourse. Although the email did not elaborate on these concerns, the cancellation underscores the charged atmosphere surrounding the Lower Merion school board race. In lieu of the live forum, candidates were invited to respond to questions in writing, which would later be disseminated to club members.

Following the cancellation, the group Imagine Better LMSD, which is supported by the Republican Committee of Lower Merion and Narberth, quickly organised an informal candidates forum at a local bar. Omer Dekel, a candidate backed by this group, noted that despite the last-minute nature of the event, it was noted for its cordial and warm atmosphere, with the majority of candidates present except for Kerry Sautner and Anna Shurak.

Currently, there are ten hopefuls vying for four open school board seats, highlighting a competitive landscape. Notably, the local Democratic committee has endorsed Sautner, Shurak, Juanita Kerber, and Jennifer Rivera, promoting a slate that aims to strengthen public education, uphold equity, and ensure transparency in response to political pressures seeking to reverse recent advancements. Sautner, who faced disqualification over financial disclosure issues, was reinstated to the ballot after a ruling by the Montgomery County Court of Common Pleas.

This school year has seen the Lower Merion School District embroiled in conflict, particularly related to the governance of how the district defines and combats antisemitism. The debates surrounding such topics have surged into school board meetings, revealing deep divisions within the community. Anna Shurak's recent involvement in a controversy regarding a sign proclaiming that “Jewish students deserve to be safe” demonstrates the emotional stakes at play. While she expressed support for the message, she also raised concerns about potential confusion or fear it might evoke in children.

Amidst this backdrop of tension and division, the Imagine Better LMSD group lists combating antisemitism as one of its principal priorities, signalling a focus that resonates deeply with current community sentiments. The upcoming primary election on May 20 could thus serve as a critical juncture in not only redefining the school board's future but also in shaping how educational issues are approached in this increasingly polarized environment.

As candidates prepare for this pivotal election, the community’s need for constructive dialogue is more crucial than ever, especially in light of rising discontent and apprehensions about civic participation.
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