# Ancient Stonehenge origins spark heated debate over black British history in schools



The debate surrounding the origins of one of Britain’s most iconic monuments, Stonehenge, has recently intensified, particularly framed within the broader context of evolving educational curricula. The children's book "Brilliant Black British History," authored by Atinuke, posits that Stonehenge was constructed by black Britons during a time when the population of Britain was predominantly black. This claim has sparked criticism, not only for potentially oversimplifying historical narratives but also for being labelled as "hotly contested and outside mainstream historical thinking" by the Policy Exchange think-tank.

According to the book, Britain was a black country for over 7,000 years before the emergence of white populations, a narrative that seeks to place black history at the forefront of British identity. The assertion aligns with recent findings that ancient Britons, including those associated with Stonehenge, likely had darker skin. Research analysing 348 ancient genomes indicates that lighter skin tones became more prevalent over time, largely influenced by migration patterns. However, this data does not directly support the claim of the book but adds a layer of complexity to the discussion about race and historical identity.

While Atinuke’s work aims to provide an empowering narrative for younger readers, its reception has not been universally positive. Critics argue that it distorts history by presenting controversial interpretations as fact. A report from Policy Exchange identified that changes to school curricula, influenced by movements such as Black Lives Matter, often err on the side of radical inclusivity, resulting in instances where contested narratives are taught without sufficient context or critical analysis. The report finds that, although efforts to include varied perspectives in history lessons might have positive elements—such as highlighting stories from the women’s suffrage movement—there’s a concern that this might come at the cost of rigour and a well-rounded historical education.

In fact, the research points to a decline in the teaching of classical British military history, with examples like the Battle of Trafalgar and the Battle of Agincourt being omitted from many curricula. Fewer than one in ten students now learn about these pivotal moments, indicating a shift in focus that some believe dilutes the core components of national heritage. The think-tank advocates for a balanced approach that encourages diverse historical narratives while maintaining rigorous academic standards.

Supporters of Atinuke's book and similar educational resources argue that they serve to correct long-standing gaps in the narratives traditionally presented in schools, thereby fostering a more inclusive understanding of British history. They advocate for the importance of diversity in historical accounts, suggesting that failing to acknowledge the contributions and presence of black Britons obscures pivotal aspects of the nation's past.

As this debate continues, it raises critical questions about how history is taught and the implications of curricular changes. Are we moving towards an inclusive history that accurately reflects Britain's multicultural identity, or are we at risk of rewriting history in a way that sacrifices complexity for ideological narratives? The answer may depend largely on educators’ ability to strike a balance between accuracy and inclusivity, ensuring that future generations receive a well-rounded education while appreciating the diverse tapestry that makes up British history.

The discussion surrounding the treatment of Stonehenge in educational materials exemplifies the broader challenges faced in reconciling historical fact with contemporary societal values, highlighting the need for ongoing dialogue and critical engagement with our past.
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