# UK-EU emissions trading linkage sparks hope and concern amid shifting climate policies



The recent announcement regarding the UK and EU's agreement to move towards linking their emissions trading systems has prompted an array of reactions, notably due to its significance in post-Brexit relations. While the reaffirmation of cooperation is a positive development, some critics argue it represents a regression, a return to a collaborative approach that many believe should never have been disrupted.

To contextualise this agreement, the linkage aims to ensure the progression aligns with the EU’s Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM), set to take effect in 2026. Industry professionals view the linkage favourably, claiming it could enhance competitiveness for British exporters. Chris Aylett, a research fellow at the think tank Chatham House, highlights the critical choice faced by many businesses: secure access to European markets or contend with the repercussions of diminished market presence. The industry group Energy UK estimates that linkage could lower carbon prices in the coming years, mitigating the financial burden on UK industries and alleviating potential charges that could reach £800 million annually due to the CBAM.

However, this optimism is tempered by concerns regarding the timing of the UK's own CBAM, slated for 2027, a whole year after the EU’s implementation. The delayed approach raises fears that the UK could become an unintended destination for high-emission products, such as steel and cement, as international producers exploit the regulatory gap. The Labour Party's potential re-alignment of carbon trading systems with the EU, should they triumph in the upcoming general election, could further complicate this landscape and provoke backlash from staunch Brexit supporters.

In tandem with these developments, broader discussions surrounding energy policy are gaining traction. Recent signals from Germany’s new Chancellor Friedrich Merz indicate a potential shift in Berlin’s long-standing opposition to recognising nuclear energy alongside renewable resources within EU legislation. This shift reflects a significant realignment of French-German relations, with both nations advocating for policies they argue will enhance economic competitiveness. Yet, critics argue that prioritising competitiveness over rigorous environmental standards could undermine long-term climate objectives, especially in light of emerging research by organisations such as Bruegel. Their analysis warns that business-as-usual approaches to economic policies in the context of climate change risk significant public finance strain, foreseeing a scenario where governments can neither sustain public finances nor ensure long-lasting environmental viability.

Moreover, city leaders across Europe have underscored the crucial role of urban areas in driving public investments, calling for the next EU budget to reflect this reality. They assert that cities contribute decisively to the implementation of EU climate legislation, accounting for a substantial share of climate-related public spending. This suggests a need for comprehensive support for local efforts within the broader EU framework, particularly as cities grapple with the impacts of climate change.

Amidst these discussions, the recent rise in carbon prices in the EU, surpassing 100 euros per ton for the first time, signals a growing acknowledgment of the urgency to manage emissions. The EU’s emissions trading system (ETS), which requires companies to purchase allowances for their carbon output, is undergoing significant transformations aimed at ambitious climate targets. Although this may enhance revenue generation for the European Green Deal, there are valid concerns that unchecked global trade dynamics could restrict the effectiveness of these policies, particularly impacting UK renewable energy exporters who may face new carbon fees under the impending CBAM.

As discussions unfold in the lead-up to pivotal climate conferences like COP30, experts are contemplating new frameworks for equitable climate action that extend beyond traditional classifications of industrialised and developing nations. Francois Gemenne, a prominent academic, advocates for a reclassification that would facilitate a more balanced approach to tackling emissions and investments in green technology.

In conclusion, while the recent agreement to link emissions trading systems heralds a renewed focus on cooperation between the UK and EU, the complexities surrounding carbon pricing, industrial competitiveness, and comprehensive environmental strategies necessitate careful navigation. As both regions seek to address the challenges of climate change, the imperative for cohesive action and sustainable economic policy remains at the forefront of the debate.
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