# High Court injunction on Epping hotel forces Home Office into month‑long scramble



The High Court’s interim injunction preventing asylum seekers from being housed at the Bell Hotel in Epping has placed the Home Office under immediate logistical strain and exposed a wider political fault-line. According to the BBC’s reporting, the council’s order requires people accommodated at the hotel to be rehoused within weeks, leaving ministers with under a month to find alternative placements. Reuters added that the court set a formal removal date of 12 September and dismissed a late attempt by the Home Office to intervene in the judgment.

Beyond the local timetable, the ruling has been framed in court as having potential national reach. A Home Office lawyer told the High Court that the injunction could “substantially impact” the department’s ability to fulfil its legal duty to provide accommodation, and ministers have warned that some existing hotel contracts run until the end of the current parliament. Border Security Minister Angela Eagle has reiterated the government’s aim to end hotel use by 2029, but legal and operational advisers say the injunction forces an accelerated search for alternatives.

The scale of the practical challenge is clear in government data. Home Office statistics show there were 32,345 people in hotel accommodation as of 31 March 2025, down from a peak of more than 56,000 at the end of September 2023. Parliamentary answers record that more than 400 hotels were used at the peak, with officials later reporting roughly 210–220 hotels in use as the department sought to reduce reliance on temporary hotel placements. Those declines have been significant, but officials say the remaining caseload — and the duration of some contracts — mean the system is still vulnerable to legal and local challenges.

Politically, the judgment has already been seized upon by opponents of the government’s asylum arrangements. Reform UK’s leader Nigel Farage praised what he described as the “bravery” of the local community and said he hoped Epping would inspire other challenges, while Reform figures and some Conservative politicians have signalled that other councils may explore similar legal routes. The shadow home secretary said he would welcome councils following Epping’s lead, and at least one other Conservative-run council has confirmed it is considering legal action.

Whitehall lawyers also warned the court that any restriction on hotel use could inflame local tensions. In court they argued that preventing the use of the Epping hotel “runs the risk of acting as an impetus for further violent protests,” comments echoed in contemporaneous reporting of arrests and demonstrations outside the site. Ministers are said to be worried that a proliferation of peaceful but sustained protests would demand significant public-order policing, diverting resources from other priorities.

Operationally, officials face a squeeze between legal constraints, contract obligations and political pressure. The Home Office has told MPs it intends to wind down hotel use over time, but the Epping ruling — which the council will return to the court over in the autumn — makes the timetable uncertain and may require a faster shift into long-term accommodation or increased subsistence arrangements. Reuters reported that the department’s lawyers characterised the case as more than a local difficulty, warning of consequences for hotel use across the UK.

Whether the injunction becomes a lasting precedent remains unclear. The court has made an interim order and the local authority must return to court later in the year; judges will then consider whether the temporary ban should be made permanent. In the meantime, the episode crystallises the difficult trade-offs at the heart of asylum policy: the government's duty to house people, the operational limits of temporary accommodation, and the political appetite of communities and parties to challenge centrally arranged placements. How ministers respond in the coming weeks will determine whether Epping proves to be an isolated judgment or the opening of a broader contest between Whitehall and local authorities.
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