# Philp signals legal and protest push as councils eye injunctions against asylum hotels



The shadow home secretary, Chris Philp, has stressed that people “have every right to engage in protest” in the wake of a High Court decision that observers fear could trigger a wave of demonstrations outside asylum hotels. Speaking to BBC Breakfast, Philp named three Conservative-led councils—Broxbourne in Hertfordshire, Reigate and Banstead in Surrey, and Hillingdon in London—as considering legal action against hoteliers whose properties are being used to house asylum seekers. The comments come as Conservative party leader Kemi Badenoch writes to town-hall leaders encouraging them to follow Epping Forest District Council’s lead by pursuing attempts to shut down such hotels, if their legal advice supports it. For followers of the dispute, the message is clear: while protests should be lawful, there is political momentum behind using local planning and legal routes to challenge the use of hotels for asylum accommodation. The discussion intersects with ongoing tensions over the Government’s handling of asylum policy and the communities hosting migrants.

Background for those watching the legal and administrative arc shows why the Epping Forest case matters beyond a single hotel. Epping Forest District Council won permission to apply to the High Court for an interim injunction to stop The Bell Hotel in Epping from housing asylum seekers, arguing that the premises are not being used as a proper hotel and that the current arrangement risks heightening community tensions and public-safety concerns. The council sought swift relief, with a filing requesting an injunction effective within fourteen days and a declaration that the hotel’s current use is not a permitted planning use for asylum-hosting. Local leaders have framed the issue as one of accountability—resident frustration has been a recurring theme, with council boss Chris Whitbread saying that listening and responsiveness from government have been lacking. Alongside that local dynamic, observers note that a broader constellation of Reform‑led councils are weighing similar measures; a Guardian briefing highlighted around a dozen such authorities weighing legal bids, reflecting a wider political strategy to contest migrant housing options.

The developing juridical picture is that the Bell Hotel case could set a precedent that other councils may seek to mirror, a possibility acknowledged by national and regional commentators. Sky News reported that, following the Epping ruling, ministers fear that similar injunctions could be pursued elsewhere, and that the decision has already fed into a broader policy and political debate about asylum hotels, localisation of housing, and public order. The same outlet covered further developments at the High Court, where a hearing examined whether the Bell Hotel’s use breaches planning rules, with the judge reserving his ruling and Somani Hotels Limited signalling an intention to appeal. As protests outside the Bell Hotel continued and the Home Office emphasised its statutory duties, the case underscored the political salience of hosting asylum seekers and the high-stakes legal mechanisms by which councils can challenge such arrangements.
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