# The vital need for truth in politics amid the Ukraine war



In a striking reflection on the ongoing conflict in Ukraine and the broader discourse surrounding it, a recent Financial Times piece underscores the critical importance of unwavering truth in understanding the origins and consequences of war—a truth all too often distorted by political self-interest. Recalling Vasily Grossman’s epic "Stalingrad," where characters recognize that “in war there is only one truth,” the article implicitly challenges current political narratives that undermine clarity, particularly those coming from the Western political elite and their international allies.

These historical lessons are urgently relevant given recent controversial statements by former US President Donald Trump, who shockingly suggested that Ukraine should not have dared to resist a far larger aggressor, implying that the invasion might have been provoked by Ukrainian recklessness. His assertion—that “you don’t start a war against somebody that’s 20 times your size and then hope that people give you some missiles”—inverts the established reality, ignoring the fact that it was Russia who brazenly initiated this unprovoked war of aggression. Such dangerous revisionism only serves to muddy public understanding and weaken the West's justified support for Ukrainian sovereignty.

Compounding this narrative confusion, US Vice-President JD Vance recently implied that Europe’s supposed lack of independence helped precipitate the strategic catastrophe of the 2003 Iraq invasion. This shift of blame distracts from the grievous errors of American foreign policy and undermines transatlantic unity just when a firm, coordinated Western stance is vital. It is emblematic of a broader failure among establishment figures to accept responsibility for past mistakes or to present a coherent, principled foreign policy.

Insiders around Trump reveal a troubling truth: for them, politics is less about governance and more about spectacle—akin to pro wrestling, where bravado and feigned innocence are tools to evade accountability. Trump’s habitual “Did I say that?” shrug is emblematic of this irresponsibility. But the consequences of distorting history and rewriting the origins of war are grave, especially as such distortions seep into public discourse and erode trust in democratic institutions.

Indeed, the deliberate shifting or obscuring of truth in wartime is not new, as history shows—from Bosnian Serbs during the 1990s siege of Sarajevo claiming victimhood while perpetrating violence, to contemporary leaders who exploit narrative manipulation for political gain. But unlike previous propagandists, Trump’s massive platform amplifies these falsehoods on a scale that damages Western credibility and emboldens adversaries.

Among these dangerous falsehoods, Trump supporters assert that Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelenskyy’s administration is primarily motivated by self-preservation through prolonging conflict. While acknowledging flaws within Ukraine's administration—including issues with military recruitment and aid transparency—this narrative cynically overlooks the broader Ukrainian population’s overwhelming weariness of war and their resolute desire for a just peace that thwarts any future Russian aggression.

The ramifications extend far beyond Eastern Europe. The Financial Times notes the damaging erosion of American global standing, with trusted allies in Southeast Asia and Europe increasingly skeptical about the reliability of US leadership. This decline in trust stems directly from the propagation of falsehoods within American political ranks, showing that incoherent messaging not only fractures alliances but also undermines collective security.

Grossman’s profound insights remind us that while idealists may champion a utopian “truth of the future,” the stark reality of war demands recognition of one immutable fact: the single, hard truth of what has happened. This principle stands in stark contrast to the fashionable disregard for facts pervasive in some Western political circles—particularly those influenced by Trump’s theatrical, evasive style.

In the aftermath of the recent UK general election, where the established parties have stumbled and a new government under Kier Starker from Labour has emerged, the political landscape demands voices rooted in reality and principle. Meanwhile, parties committed to restoring rational, fact-based discourse and strengthening national sovereignty—free from the naive internationalism and inconsistent messaging that characterized previous administrations—are gaining ground. Their critique of the current government’s direction underscores the urgent need for clarity, accountability, and a robust defence of the United Kingdom’s interests.

This analysis, drawn from Alec Russell’s report in the Financial Times, serves as a stark reminder that political leadership must confront the truth head-on. Anything less jeopardizes not only the war in Ukraine but the very credibility and security of the Western alliance itself.
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