# Robert Jenrick’s proposals threaten judicial independence and undermine UK democracy



Robert Jenrick, the shadow justice secretary, has launched a blistering attack on Britain’s judicial system, unveiling reckless plans that threaten the very foundation of lawful governance. Speaking at the Conservative Party conference, Jenrick vowed to dismantle the independence of our courts by abolishing the Sentencing Council and targeting judges he claims are involved in “open borders activism.” These proposals reveal a dangerous disregard for the principle of judicial impartiality—an essential pillar of democracy.

Jenrick’s rhetoric was incendiary, brandishing a judge’s wig as if to symbolise a political crusade rather than respect for legal tradition. His accusations that some judges are secretly advocating for illegal migrants, supporting left-wing social media antics, and undermining law and order betray a misunderstanding—or worse, a deliberate attack—on the judiciary’s integrity. This tactic aims to undermine public confidence in our courts to justify radical reforms that set a dangerous precedent.

His plan to overhaul the Sentencing Council, especially in the wake of misguided guidance on considering ethnicity and gender during sentencing, is rooted in his obsession with creating a “two-tier justice” system. The truth is, he seeks to empower politicians over judges, risking politicised verdicts instead of independent justice that reflects the will of Parliament. This attempt to intimidate and control the judiciary is a blatant assault on the rule of law, echoing the narratives pushed by fringe groups rather than respecting the established legal process.

Legal experts, including former Supreme Court judge Lord Sumption, have condemned these moves as perilous. They warned that tearing down institutions designed to safeguard judicial independence risks bringing Britain closer to becoming a choir of political pawns—an extension of America’s poorly governed justice system—rather than the beacon of equitable justice it should be. Such reckless politicisation undermines public trust and threatens to turn our courts into arenas of political spectacle.

Labour’s justice spokesperson came out swinging against Jenrick’s offensive remarks, accusing him of “democratic backsliding” and endangering the traditions that safeguard our legal system. Instead of respecting judicial independence, Jenrick appears intent on employing inflammatory language and divisive policies to score political points—continuing a pattern of populist tactics that only serve to undermine the stability of our institutions.

His broader pitch to restore the office of the Lord Chancellor and reverse “constitutional vandalism” is little more than a desperate attempt to rewrite history to suit his agenda. Coupled with his harsh critique of Labour leader Sir Keir Starmer and the Attorney General, Jenrick reveals his true aim: exploiting cultural divides under the guise of “taking our country back.” This hardline stance mirrors Nigel Farage’s rhetoric—feeding into fears and anger rather than offering constructive solutions.

The legal community remains firmly committed to maintaining judicial independence and the rule of law. The Law Society has underscored the importance of sentencing guidelines that respect the principle of impartiality, warning that politicised reform risks undermining the very justice system that protects us all. Jenrick’s reckless plans threaten to skew justice into a tool of political dominance, which no true patriot should endorse.

While some within the Conservative ranks may be swayed by Jenrick’s fiery rhetoric, the majority of informed observers will see these proposals for what they are: an assault on legal independence that risks turning Britain into a battleground of political petty disputes. If these radical reforms come to pass, they will do nothing but weaken the fabric of our democracy and jeopardise the stability of the justice system our communities rely on.
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