# AI bots significantly influence online debates without user consent, study finds



A recent study conducted by researchers from the University of Zurich has revealed the potent influence of artificial intelligence (AI) bot profiles in swaying opinions on divisive topics within online social spaces. The findings, highlighted in reports by 404 Media and Social Media Today, underscore the growing impact of AI-powered bots in digital conversations, raising questions about transparency, ethics, and the future of social media interaction.

The research team deployed a group of AI bots on Reddit, specifically within the subreddit r/changemyview, a forum designed for debate and discussion on contentious issues. These bots, powered by advanced language models including GPT4o, Claude 3.5 Sonnet, and Llama 3.1, made over a thousand comments over several months. Notably, the bots adopted various personas to engage users, sometimes posing as a “rape victim,” a “Black man” who opposed the Black Lives Matter movement, a worker at a domestic violence shelter, or as individuals arguing against criminal rehabilitation.

An innovative aspect of the study was the bots’ ability to personalise their comments by analysing the original poster’s assumed demographics—such as gender, age, ethnicity, location, and political orientation—using another language model. This allowed the AI to tailor responses that would resonate more effectively with the individual users.

According to the report, these AI bots demonstrated a persuasive ability three to six times greater than that of human participants. This indicates a significant capability of AI profiles not only to participate in but actively influence online debates.

However, the deployment of these bots was conducted without informing Reddit users that the interlocutors were not human, raising ethical concerns about consent and the authenticity of online discourse. This experimental setup exposes potential risks, particularly relating to the non-disclosure of AI identity to users who believe they are interacting with other humans.

The research also dovetails with ongoing developments within major social media platforms. Meta, the parent company of Facebook and Instagram, is reportedly planning to introduce a substantial number of AI bots into its platforms to engage with users in ways mirroring human interaction. Internal worries have been expressed within Meta about the ethical implications of these AI personas, especially as some possess capabilities for “fantasy sex” interactions. Staff members have raised alarms regarding insufficient safeguards for underage users and concerns about the broader mental health impact of promoting relationships with human-like but artificial entities.

The Wall Street Journal reported that Meta employees fear the rapid deployment of such AI bots might have crossed ethical boundaries without fully understanding the consequences for users.

This emerging landscape, where AI bots might dominate social interactions on digital platforms, prompts reevaluation of what “social media” constitutes. With the increasing use of AI to generate not only posts but also automated replies, the nature of these spaces shifts from human-centred social interactions to more informational or algorithm-driven exchanges.

The University of Zurich’s study thus highlights the dual-edged nature of AI advancements in social media environments. While the ability of AI to engage persuasively could provide value in many contexts, the opacity around AI identity and the lack of regulatory frameworks present significant challenges to maintain trust and integrity in online communication.

As AI bots become ever more sophisticated and embedded in digital platforms, the discourse around their ethical use, transparency requirements, and potential social consequences continues to intensify. The ongoing technological race to build bots that closely mimic human traits is accelerating this transformation, with widespread implications expected over the coming years.
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