# Study finds no signs of widespread AI-driven job apocalypse three years after ChatGPT launch



Three years after the public launch of ChatGPT, fears of an AI-driven jobs apocalypse have yet to materialize in broad employment data, according to a comprehensive study by researchers from Yale University’s Budget Lab and the Brookings Institution. The research, which analyzed federal employment figures through July 2024, found no substantial evidence of mass displacement attributable to generative AI technologies, despite vocal warnings from Silicon Valley executives and prominent AI experts.

The study monitored shifts in occupational mixes across the U.S. labour market since ChatGPT’s release in November 2022. While it identified a slightly accelerated pace of occupational change—about one percentage point above levels seen during the early 2000s internet boom—this increase falls within the historical norm for technological transitions rather than indicating dramatic upheaval. The researchers observed stable employment levels in sectors typically considered most vulnerable to AI disruption, including law, finance, and customer service, where approximately 18% of workers occupy roles theoretically highly exposed to AI automation. This proportion remained steady since early 2023, signaling no widespread displacement.

Molly Kinder, a senior fellow at Brookings and co-author of the paper, told The Financial Times, “We are not in an economy-wide jobs apocalypse right now; it's mostly stable,” underlining that these findings offer reassurance amid public anxiety over AI’s economic impact. This assessment contrasts sharply with industry rhetoric, such as comments from Anthropic CEO Dario Amode, who predicted up to 50% of entry-level white-collar roles could vanish within five years. Moreover, Geoffrey Hinton, often dubbed the “Godfather of AI,” has warned that AI could exacerbate income inequality through mass unemployment and increased profits concentrated among few. However, the current empirical data does not support such immediate or sweeping job losses.

The research also addressed early-career employment challenges. While unemployment among young college graduates aged 20 to 24 rose from 4.4% in April to 9.3% in August 2024, concurrent increases among slightly older degree holders suggest that broader labour market softness, rather than direct AI displacement, is the primary cause. This finding aligns with other analyses indicating that generative AI’s impact may be more nuanced, affecting certain subsets of workers or occupations rather than causing overarching labour market disruption.

Other studies provide further granularity. A Stanford University report has signaled a 13% relative employment decline for workers aged 22-25 in jobs most exposed to AI since 2022. The study pinpointed job categories such as customer service, accounting, and software development as particularly vulnerable, hypothesising that AI replaces “codified knowledge” acquired through formal education more readily than experiential knowledge. However, it also noted that AI’s role as a complementary tool in some occupations may mitigate employment losses, indicating the complexity of AI’s impact on workforces. The Stanford analysis excluded factors like remote work and outsourcing to isolate AI’s effect, lending weight to its findings, though it remains unpublished in peer-reviewed form.

Historically, technological transformations in the workplace unfold gradually over decades rather than months or years. The Yale and Brookings study noted that the peak rate of occupational change occurred during the industrial upheavals of the 1940s and 1950s, with shifts of around 20-21%, while current changes hover near 10%. Earlier technologies, such as the widespread adoption of personal computers and the internet, took years to alter labour dynamics significantly. This precedent suggests that any major AI-driven restructuring may still lie ahead. Researchers emphasised the limitations of current data, including the reliance on theoretical AI-exposure metrics rather than concrete usage figures, and called for greater transparency from AI developers on deployment and workforce impact.

Notably, generative AI’s adoption, and consequential job market changes, appear concentrated geographically in major tech hubs like San Francisco, New York, and Boston. A Brookings analysis of job postings revealed that over 60% of generative AI-related positions are clustered in just ten metro areas, reinforcing patterns seen in earlier digital technology booms. This concentration could shape the distribution of economic benefits and challenges arising from AI innovations.

In addition to assessing current impacts, Yale University has committed significant resources to AI research and education, announcing a $150 million initiative to provide secure access to AI tools and advance scholarship. Through the new Clarity platform, Yale aims to enable its community to engage with generative AI technology while safeguarding data privacy—a move illustrating academic institutions’ role in guiding AI’s integration into society.

In summary, nearly three years into the AI revolution, the most conspicuous shift in the labour market may not be mass job loss but the heightened dialogue and speculation among executives and policymakers. While certain subgroups, particularly young workers in highly exposed roles, show signs of stress, the overall labour market remains stable, echoing the gradual nature of technological disruption seen throughout history. Researchers continue to monitor developments closely, urging data transparency and nuanced analysis to understand AI’s evolving relationship with work.
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